

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/772,358	02/06/2004	Hyun Shik Cho	1630-0136P	5044
2292	7590 04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH GUHARAY,			, KARABI	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, <u></u>		2879	
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,358	CHO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karabi Guharay	2879	.
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. ply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on A This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice under the closed. 	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,8 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers		·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2006 is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ on the drawing(s) be held in abeyant prection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

Response to Amendment

Amendment, filed on 02/09/06 has been considered and entered.

Amended drawings are approved by examiner.

Substitute specification has been entered.

Claim 2 is cancelled.

Claim Objections

Amended claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 8, "yoke plane" should be changed to "yoke line plane". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-6 & 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swank et al. (US 5155411).

Regarding claim 1, Swank et al. disclose a cathode ray tube (Fig 1) comprising a panel (faceplate panel 12) having phosphor screen (22) on the inner surface thereof, a funnel 15, joined to the panel 12 at a seal line plane (21 of Fig 1) and having a body portion, a yoke portion (from one end of 34 to the other end), yoke line plane (along the vertical line drawn through the end of 34,end close to the faceplate, of the yoke 30) and a neck portion 14, an electron gun 26 mounted to the neck portion of the funnel wherein

Art Unit: 2879

a projection (42) is provided between a seal line (21) and the neck portion of the funnel (lines 12-44 of column 2) extending on both sides of the yoke line plane (shown clearly in Fig 2).

Regarding claim 3, Swank discloses that a maximum thickness and a minimum thickness of the projection is about 2 (see Fig 2 & Fig 3), this satisfies the equation of claim 3.

Regarding claim 4, Swank et al. disclose that the thickness of the projection is greater than the thickness of the body portion 15 (see Fig 3).

Regarding claims 5 & 6, Swank et al. disclose that the thickness projection of the projection 42 have stairs (steps, 46, 52) having curvature (see Fig 2 & Fig 3).

Regarding claim 12, Swank et al. disclose that a deflection angle of the electron beams is no less than or equal to 100 degrees (see Lines 15-18 of column 1).

Regarding claims 13 & 14, Swank discloses that a cross section of the neck portion 14 is shaped non-circular (see Fig 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the

Art Unit: 2879

examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swank et al. as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Sugawara et al. (US2002/0185959).

Regarding claim 7 Swank et al. discloses all the limitations of claim 7 except for the thickness of the funnel except for the projection becomes gradually greater from the neck portion to the seal line plane.

However, Sugawara et al. teach that for a flat panel CRT, panel thickness is much greater at the periphery where it is joined to the funnel compared to the thickness of the panel at the center of the panel, and in order to reduce the thermal stress developed for this thickness variation funnel thickness is much greater near the seal line and gradually decreases near the neck of the funnel to make the CRT light weight (see Fig 1, see paragraph 0012-0015), such structure of panel and funnel produces flat screen while reduces thermal stress to prevent fracture while making the funnel thinner.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the thickness of the funnel as discloses by Sugawara et al. in the device of Swank et al. since this will provide a flat CRT having reduced thermal stress in glass envelope of the CRT.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-11 & 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7/// Ochtron Marris Cr. 10/1/2,00

Art Unit: 2879

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 8, the prior art of record neither shows nor suggests a CRT having panel an the funnel satisfy USD/PT \geq 2.5, wherein USD is a diagonal length of an effective screen of the panel and PT is a distance between a central point of an inner surface of the panel and the yoke line plane, together with other cited limitations of claim 1.

Regarding claim 15, the prior art of record neither shows nor suggests a CRT comprising the limitations cited in claim 15.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/09/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Swank's body of glass is not located in yoke line plane, but is adjacent to Swank's yoke line plane.

However, examiner respectfully disagrees.

A yoke line means a line in which deflection yoke of a CRT, deflecting an electron beam can be placed on the body of CRT toward the panel to the utmost. The york line plane means a vertical plane which is perpendicular to the deflection axis X including the yoke line.

In Swank's case, the utmost point of the yoke towards panel is the end of 34 of Fig 2, towards panel (see Fig 2) and the yoke line plane is the vertical plane containing

Art Unit: 2879

that line, thus the glass extension 42 of Swank is extending on both sides of the YLP (yoke line plane, see Fig 2).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is (571) 272-2452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization is (571) 273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 2879

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 7

Karabi Zuhare Karabi Guharay Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879